Flatness of Tensor Products and Semi-Rigidity for C_2 -cofinite Vertex Operator Algebras II

Masahiko Miyamoto Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, 305 Japan

Abstract

Let V be a simple C_2 -cofinite VOA of CFT type and we study the properties of non-semi-simple modules. We assume that there is a V-module Q such that $\operatorname{Hom}_V(Q\boxtimes V',V)\neq 0$. Let us consider a trace function $\Psi_V^{\operatorname{tr}}$ on V. As the author has shown in [5], an S-transformation $S(\Psi_V^{\operatorname{tr}})$ of $\Psi_V^{\operatorname{tr}}$ corresponding to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ may contain pseudo-trace functions. In this paper, we assume that $S(\Psi_V^{\operatorname{tr}})$ is a linear combination of trace functions (i.e. no pseudo-trace functions), then we show that all V-modules are semi-rigid and all trace functions $\Psi_U^{\operatorname{tr}}$ on simple modules U appear in $S(\Psi_V^{\operatorname{tr}})$. As such an example, we show that a C_2 -cofinite orbifold model V of a rational VOA of CFT type has no pseudo-trace functions in $S(\Psi_V^{\operatorname{tr}})$. As a corollary of our main theorem, such an orbifold model becomes rational.

1 Introduction

Let $V = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n$ be a simple C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra of CFT type (i.e. $\dim V_0 = 1$). Since V is C_2 -cofinite, a fusion product $W \boxtimes U$ of finitely generated V-modules W and U is well-defined as a maximal finitely generated V-module with a surjective intertwining operator of W from U to $W \boxtimes U$. From the maximality, we can induced a canonical homomorphism $\delta \boxtimes \operatorname{id}_U : W \boxtimes U \to W^1 \boxtimes U$ from a V-homomorphism $\delta : W \to W^1$, where id_U denotes the identity map on U. We assume:

Hypothesis I: For every irreducible V-module W, there is an irreducible V-module \widetilde{W} such that $\operatorname{Hom}_V(W \boxtimes \widetilde{W}, V) \neq 0$.

Since V is C_2 -cofinite, the associativity of fusion products holds and so Hypothesis I is equivalent to the existence of \widetilde{V}' for a restricted dual V' of V because of

$$(\widetilde{V'}\boxtimes W')\boxtimes W\cong \widetilde{V'}\boxtimes (W\boxtimes W')\xrightarrow{\operatorname{epi}} \widetilde{V'}\boxtimes V'\xrightarrow{\operatorname{epi}} V.$$

In the case $V' \cong V$, then we can take a restricted dual W' of W as \widetilde{W} .

The author introduced a concept of "Semi-Rigidity" in [6]. We call an irreducible Vmodule W semi-rigid if there are epimorphisms $e_W: W \boxtimes \widetilde{W} \to V$ and $e_{\widetilde{W}}: \widetilde{W} \boxtimes W \to V$ and a homomorphism $\rho: P \to \widetilde{W} \boxtimes W$ satisfying $e_{\widetilde{W}}\rho(P) = V$ such that

$$(e_W \boxtimes id_W)(\mu(id_W \boxtimes \rho)(W \boxtimes P)) = V \boxtimes W,$$

where $\mu: W \boxtimes (\widetilde{W} \boxtimes W) \to (W \boxtimes \widetilde{W}) \boxtimes W$ is a canonical isomorphism (see (2.2)), and P is a projective cover of V. Namely, we consider the following diagram

We call V semi-rigid when all simple V-modules are semi-rigid.

As the author showed in [5], if we consider a trace function $\Psi_V^{\text{tr}}(*,\tau)$ on V by

$$\Psi_V^{\text{tr}}(v,\tau) = \text{Tr}_V(o(v)q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\text{Tr}_{V_n}o(v))q^{(n-c/24)\tau} \quad \text{for } v \in V,$$

then its S-transformation $S(\Psi_{V,\mathrm{tr}})$ (corresponding to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$), which is given by $(\frac{-1}{\tau})^{\mathrm{wt}(v)}\Psi_V^{\mathrm{tr}}(v,-1/\tau)$, equals to a linear combination of trace functions Ψ_U^{tr} on simple modules U and pseudo-trace functions Ψ_T^{ϕ} , where q^{τ} denotes $e^{2\pi i \tau}$, c is a central charge of V and o(v) denotes a grade-preserving operator of v, (e.g. $o(v) = v_{m-1}$ for $v \in V_m$). In other words, there are $\lambda_{(U,\mathrm{tr})}, \lambda_{(T,\phi)} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for $v \in V$ and $0 < |q^{\tau}| < 1$, we have:

$$S(\Psi_V^{\mathrm{tr}})(v,\tau) = \sum_{U \text{ irr.}} \lambda_{(U,\mathrm{tr})} \Psi_U^{\mathrm{tr}}(v,\tau) + \sum_{(T,\phi),\phi \neq \mathrm{tr}} \lambda_{(T,\phi)} \Psi_T^{\phi}(v,\tau).$$

Hypothesis II: $S(\Psi_V^{\text{tr}})$ is a linear combination of trace functions on modules.

The aim of this paper is to show the following theorem.

Main Theorem If V is a simple C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra of CFT-type satisfying Hypothesis I and II, then V is semi-rigid and $\lambda_{(U,\text{tr})} \neq 0$ for every simple module U.

At last, we will show an example satisfying Hypothesis II.

Theorem 4 Let T be a rational vertex operator algebra of CFT type and τ is a finite automorphism of T. We assume that the fixed point subVOA T^{τ} is C_2 -cofinite and satisfies Hypothesis I. Then T^{τ} has no pseudo-trace functions in $S(\Psi_{T\tau}^{tr})$.

Here a VOA T is called rational if all \mathbb{N} -gradable modules are completely reducible. As a corollary, we have:

Corollary 7 Under the assumption of Theorem 4, T^{τ} is also rational.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank T. Abe and H. Yamauchi for their pointed questions. He also thanks to G. Höhn, V. Nikulin and N. Scheithauer, the organizers of the conference held at Edinburgh in 2009 where he was stimulated to extend his result from the assumption $V \cong V'$ to general cases.

2 Preliminary results

2.1 The space of logarithmic intertwining operators

Throughout this paper, we will treat only finitely generated modules and $\operatorname{mod}(V)$ denotes the set of all finitely generated V-modules. We should note that all modules are \mathbb{N} -gradable because V is C_2 -cofinite. If V is also rational, then Proposition 5.1 in [4] implies that V is rigid (which is stronger than semi-rigid). Our aim is to extend his result to non-semi-simple modules. Therefore, our concept of intertwining operators includes logarithmic intertwining operators \mathcal{Y} of type $\binom{A}{U,B}$ which has a form $\mathcal{Y}(u,z) = \sum_{i=0}^K \sum_r u_{r,i} z^{-r-1} \log^i z$ with $u_{r,i} \in \operatorname{Hom}(B,A)$ for $u \in U$. Y^U denotes a vertex operator of V on a module U, and $\mathcal{I}_{A,B}^C$ denotes the space of (logarithmic) intertwining operators of type $\binom{A}{A,B}$ for $A,B,C \in \operatorname{mod}(V)$. We fix one surjective intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}_{A,B}^{\boxtimes} \in \mathcal{I}_{A,B}^{A\boxtimes B}$ for each pair A,B. Here "surjective" implies that the images of $\mathcal{Y}_{A,B}^{\boxtimes}$ are not contained a proper subspace. For $W \in \operatorname{mod}(V)$, W_r denotes a generalized eigenspace $\{w \in W \mid (L(0)-r)^N w=0 \text{ for some } N \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and W' denotes a restricted dual V-module $\oplus_r \operatorname{Hom}(W_r, \mathbb{C})$ of W.

2.2 Analytic functions

We first recall the analytic part on the composition of intertwining operators (with logarithmic terms) from [3]. From now on, let $A, B, C, D, E, F \in \text{mod}(V)$ and $a \in A, b \in$ $B, c \in C, d' \in D'$. As Huang showed, for intertwining operators $\mathcal{Y}_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{A,E}^D$, $\mathcal{Y}_2 \in \mathcal{I}_{B,C}^E$, $\mathcal{Y}_3 \in \mathcal{I}_{F,C}^D$ and $\mathcal{Y}_4 \in \mathcal{I}_{A,B}^F$, the formal power series (with logarithmic terms)

$$\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_1(a, x)\mathcal{Y}_2(b, y)c \rangle$$
 and $\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_3(\mathcal{Y}_4(a, x - y)b, y)c \rangle$

are absolutely convergent in $\Delta_1 = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |x| > |y| > 0\}$ and $\Delta_2 = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |y| > |x-y| > 0\}$, respectively, and can all be analytically extended to multi-valued analytic functions on

$$M^2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid xy(x - y) \neq 0\}.$$

As he did, we are able to lift them to single-valued analytic functions

$$E(\langle d, \mathcal{Y}_1(a, x)\mathcal{Y}_2(b, y)c\rangle)$$
 and $E(\langle d, \mathcal{Y}_3(\mathcal{Y}_4(a, x - y)b, y)c\rangle)$

on the universal covering \widetilde{M}^2 of M^2 . As he remarked, single-valued liftings are not unique, but the existence of such functions is enough for our arguments. The important fact is that if we fix A, B, C, D, then these functions are given as solutions of the same differential

equations. Therefore, for $\mathcal{Y}_1 \in \mathcal{I}_{A,E}^D$, $\mathcal{Y}_2 \in \mathcal{I}_{B,C}^E$ there are $\mathcal{Y}_5 \in \mathcal{I}_{A\boxtimes B,C}^D$ and $\mathcal{Y}_6 \in \mathcal{I}_{B,A\boxtimes C}^D$ such that

$$E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_1(a, x)\mathcal{Y}_2(b, y)c\rangle) = E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_5(\mathcal{Y}_{A,B}^{\boxtimes}(a, x - y)b, y)c\rangle) \quad \text{and}$$

$$E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_2(\mathcal{Y}_4(a, x - y)b, y)c\rangle) = E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_6(a, x)\mathcal{Y}_{B,C}^{\boxtimes}(b, y)c\rangle).$$
(2.1)

We note that the right hand sides of (2.1) are usually expressed by linear sums, say,

$$E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_1(a, x)\mathcal{Y}_2(b, y)c\rangle) = \sum_i E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_{1i}(\mathcal{Y}_{2i}(a, x - y)b, y)c\rangle).$$

For each term, from the maximality of fusion products, there is a homomorphism $\xi_i \in \text{Hom}_V(A \boxtimes B, \text{Im}(\mathcal{Y}_{2i}))$ such that $\mathcal{Y}_{2i} = \xi_i \mathcal{Y}_{A,B}^{\boxtimes}$. Then it is easy to check that $\sum_i \mathcal{Y}_{1i} \xi_i$ is an intertwining operator in $\mathcal{I}_{A\boxtimes B,C}^D$ and so we can get the expressions (2.1). The canonical isomorphism $\mu: (A\boxtimes B)\boxtimes C \to A\boxtimes (B\boxtimes)$ is given by

$$E(\langle d', \mu \mathcal{Y}_{A \boxtimes B, C}^{\boxtimes}(\mathcal{Y}_{A, B}^{\boxtimes}(a, x - y)b, y)c\rangle) = E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}_{A, B \boxtimes C}^{\boxtimes}(a, x)\mathcal{Y}_{B, C}^{\boxtimes}(b, y)c\rangle). \tag{2.2}$$

2.3 Skew symmetric and adjoint intertwining operators

In his paper [4], Huang explicitly defined a skew symmetry intertwining operator $\sigma_{12}(\mathcal{Y}) \in \mathcal{I}_{B,A}^{C}$ and an adjoint intertwining operator $\sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}) \in \mathcal{I}_{A,C'}^{B'}$ for $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{I}_{A,B}^{C}$ under the assumption that \mathcal{Y} has no logarithmic terms. Even if $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{I}_{B,A}^{C}$ has logarithmic terms, by considering a path $\{z = \frac{1}{2}e^{\pi it}x \mid t \in [0,1]\}$, there is $\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathcal{I}_{A,B}^{C}$ such that

$$E(\langle c', \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}(a, z)\sigma_{12}(Y^B)(b, x)\mathbf{1}\rangle) = E(\langle c', \mathcal{Y}(b, x)\sigma_{12}(Y^A)(a, z)\mathbf{1}\rangle), \tag{2.3}$$

which implies there is an isomorphism $\sigma_{12}: \mathcal{I}_{A,B}^C \cong \mathcal{I}_{B,A}^C$. We rewrite them.

The left side of (2.3) =
$$E(\langle c', \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}(a, z)e^{L(-1)x}b\rangle) = E(\langle c', e^{L(-1)x}\widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}(a, z - x)b\rangle)$$

= $E(\langle e^{L(1)x}c', \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}(a, z - x)b\rangle)$
The right side of (2.3) = $E(\langle c', \mathcal{Y}(b, x)e^{L(-1)(z)}a\rangle) = E(\langle c', e^{L(-1)z}\mathcal{Y}(b, x - z)a\rangle)$
= $E(\langle e^{L(1)x}c', e^{L(-1)(z-x)}\mathcal{Y}(b, x - z)a\rangle).$

Since $\langle e^{L(1)x}c', \widetilde{\mathcal{Y}}(a, z - x)b \rangle$ and $\langle e^{L(1)x}c', e^{L(-1)z}\mathcal{Y}(b, x - z)a \rangle$ are multivalued rational functions on $\{(x, z) \mid x \neq z\}$, we may choose σ_{12} so that

$$\sigma_{12}(\mathcal{Y})(a, z - x)b = e^{L(-1)(z-x)}\mathcal{Y}(b, x - z)a.$$
 (2.4)

Similarly, for $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{I}_{A,B}^{C'}$ and canonical intertwining operators $\mathcal{Y}_{C,C'}^{V'}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{B',B}^{V'}$ induced from inner products, there is $\mathcal{Y}^4 \in \mathcal{I}_{A,C}^{B'}$ such that

$$E(\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathcal{Y}_{C,C'}^{V'}(c, x)\mathcal{Y}(a, y)b\rangle) = E(\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathcal{Y}_{B',B}^{V'}(e^{L(-1)(x-y)}\mathcal{Y}^{4}(a, y-x)c, y)b\rangle).$$

Therefore, we have an isomorphism $\sigma_{23}: \mathcal{I}_{A,B}^C \cong \mathcal{I}_{A,C'}^{B'}$. We need the notation $\sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y})$, but not an explicit formula in this paper.

In (2.1), we used \mathcal{Y}^{\boxtimes} as the second intertwining operator of products. Not only the second one, we can also use it for the first one at the same time. Actually, for $\mathcal{Y}_5(\mathcal{Y}_{AB}^{\boxtimes})$

with $\mathcal{Y}_5 \in \mathcal{I}^D_{A\boxtimes B,C}$, we have $\sigma_{123}^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_5) \in \mathcal{I}^{(A\boxtimes B)'}_{C,D'}$ and so there is $\delta \in \operatorname{Hom}_V(C\boxtimes D', (A\boxtimes B)')$ such that $\sigma_{123}^{-1}(\mathcal{Y}_5) = \delta \mathcal{Y}^{\boxtimes}_{C,D'}$. Therefore we have:

$$\mathcal{Y}_{5}(\mathcal{Y}_{A,B}^{\boxtimes}) = \sigma_{123}(\delta\mathcal{Y}_{C,D'}^{\boxtimes})(\mathcal{Y}_{A,B}^{\boxtimes}) = \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}_{C,D'}^{\boxtimes})(\delta^{*}\mathcal{Y}_{A,B}^{\boxtimes}),$$

where $\delta^* \in \operatorname{Hom}_V(A \boxtimes B, (C \boxtimes D')')$ is a dual of δ and σ_{123} denotes $\sigma_{12}\sigma_{23}$.

2.4 Semi-rigidity and intertwining operators

We next describe the semi-rigidity in terms of intertwining operators. For a V-module U, let $\operatorname{rad}^V(U)$ denote the smallest submodule such that $U/\operatorname{rad}^V(U)$ is a direct sum of copies of V. From the definition of semi-rigidity, if W is not semi-rigid, then

$$\mu(W \boxtimes \operatorname{rad}^{V}(\widetilde{W} \boxtimes W)) + \operatorname{Ker}(e_{W} \boxtimes \operatorname{id}_{W}) = (W \boxtimes \widetilde{W}) \boxtimes W, \tag{2.5}$$

for any $e_W: W \boxtimes \widetilde{W} \to V$, where $\mu: (W \boxtimes \widetilde{W}) \boxtimes W \to W \boxtimes (\widetilde{W} \boxtimes W)$ is a canonical isomorphism. On the other hand, as we has shown in §2.3, for any $e_W \mathcal{Y}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes} \in \mathcal{I}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^V$, $w, w^1 \in W$, $\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$, and $a' \in W'$, there is $\delta \in \operatorname{Hom}_V(W \boxtimes \widetilde{W}, (W \boxtimes W')')$ such that

$$E(\langle a', \sigma_{12}(Y^W)(w, x)e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W}, W}^{\boxtimes}(\widetilde{w}, y)w^1\rangle) = E(\langle a', \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}_{W, W'}^{\boxtimes})(\delta\mathcal{Y}_{W, \widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes}(w, x - y)\widetilde{w}, y)w^1\rangle).$$
(2.6)

Therefore, W is not semi-rigid if and only if $\operatorname{Image}(\delta)$ does not have a factor isomorphic to V if and only if $\operatorname{Ker}(\delta) + \operatorname{rad}^V(W \boxtimes \widetilde{W}) = W \boxtimes \widetilde{W}$ for any $e_{\widetilde{W}}$.

2.5 Pseudo-trace

Although we won't treat pseudo-trace functions [5] in this paper, we will explain them a little. It was introduced to explain a symmetric function on n-th Zhu algebra $A_n(V)$ in terms of V-modules. Most all of symmetric functions on $A_n(V)$ are linear combinations of traces of grade-preserving operators o(v) with $v \in V$ on n-th lowest homogeneous weight-space U(n) of simple V-modules U. However, in some VOAs, these functions don't cover all symmetric functions. The remaining symmetric functions are given by the following: For a V-module U with submodules $U \supseteq T \supseteq S$ and a surjective V-homomorphism $\phi: U \to S$ with $\operatorname{Ker}(\phi) = T$, take a transversal $\epsilon: S \to U$, that is, $\phi \epsilon = 1_S$ and choose a basis $\{s^i \mid i \in I\}$ of S. We extend $\{s^i, \epsilon(s^i)\}$ to a basis $\{s^i, \epsilon(s^i), \dots \mid i \in I\}$ of U and using this basis, we can express the action of V on U as

$$Y^{U}(v,z) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}(v,z) & A_{12}(v,z) & A_{13}(v,z) \\ O & A_{22}(v,z) & A_{23}(v,z) \\ O & O & A_{11}(v,z) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(2.7)

Then a pseudo-trace function on (U, ϕ) is defined by

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{U}^{\phi} Y^{U}(z^{L(0)}v, z) q^{\tau(L(0) - c/24)} := \sum_{i} \langle (s^{i})', Y^{U}(z^{L(0)}v, z) q^{\tau(L(0) - c/24)} \epsilon(s^{i}) \rangle, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\{(s^i)' \mid i \in I\}$ is the dual basis of $\{s^i \mid i \in I\}$. In other words, it is a trace function of $A_{13}(z^{L(0)}v, z)q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)}$. If it is symmetric with respect to V (we call it V-symmetric),

that is, it is symmetric with the grade-preserving actions of V, then we call it pseudo-trace (function). From (2.7), we have that the value of pseudo-trace is zero for an element which acts on U semi-simply. For example, $\operatorname{Tr}_U^{\phi} Y^U(\mathbf{1}, z) q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)} = 0$.

3 Geometrically modified module

We quote the theory of composition-invertible power series and their actions on modules for the Virasoro algebra developed in [3]. From now on, q^x denotes $e^{2\pi ix}$ for variables x to simplify the notation. Let A_i (j = 1, 2, ...) be the complex numbers defined by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}(q^y - 1) = \left(\exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j y^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)\right) y$$

and set

$$\mathcal{U}(q^x) = q^{xL(0)} (2\pi i)^{L(0)} e^{-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j L(j)}.$$

The important one is $\mathcal{U}(1)$, which satisfies

$$\mathcal{U}(1)\mathcal{Y}(w,x)\mathcal{U}(1)^{-1} = \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}(q^x)w, q^x - 1) = \mathcal{Y}(q^{xL(0)}\mathcal{U}(1)w, q^x - 1) = \mathcal{Y}[\mathcal{U}(1)w, x] \quad (3.1)$$

for an intertwining operator \mathcal{Y} , see [8] for $\mathcal{Y}[\cdot, x]$.

3.1 Trace functions

We first consider q^{τ} -traces of geometrically-modified module operators with one more variable z:

$$\Psi_U^{\phi}(v; z, \tau) := \text{Tr}_U^{\phi} Y(\mathcal{U}(q^z)v, q^z) q^{\tau(L(0) - c/24)}$$
(3.2)

for a V-module U and $v \in V$, where $\operatorname{Tr}_U^{\phi}$ is a pseudo-trace (including an ordinary trace Tr_U) and c is the central charge of V. We note that for an ordinary trace function, we can consider the trace functions for not only V but also a V-module T and $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{I}_{T,U}^U$. Namely, we can define a trace function

$$\Psi_U^{\text{tr}}(\mathcal{Y}; t; z, \tau) := \text{Tr}_U(\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}(q^z)t, q^z)q^{\tau(L(0) - c/24)}) \qquad t \in T.$$
(3.3)

We have to note that L(0) may not be semisimple on a V-module U. We denote the semisimple part of L(0) by wt and $L(0)^{nil} = L(0)$ – wt is a nilpotent part of L(0). Then we will understand $q^{\tau L(0)}$ on U as

$$q^{\tau L(0)} := q^{\tau(\text{wt} + L(0)^{nil})} = q^{\tau \text{wt}} (e^{2\pi i \tau L(0)^{nil}}) = q^{\tau \text{wt}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\pi i \tau L(0)^{nil})^j}{j!}.$$

In particular, trace function may have a term $q^{\tau r} \tau^j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

We note that for simple modules W and U, $\mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^U \in \mathcal{I}_{W,U}^U$ has no logarithmic terms and the grade-preserving operators o(w) of $w \in W_r$ in $\mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^U(w,z) = \sum w_m z^{-m-1}$ is w_{r-1} . Therefore, by setting $\mathcal{U}(1)w = \sum w^r$ with homogeneous elements $w^r \in W_r$, we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{U}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^{U}(\mathcal{U}(q^{z})w, q^{z}) q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)} = \sum_{r} \operatorname{Tr}_{U}^{\phi} q^{z(\operatorname{wt}(v^{r}))} w_{r-1}^{r} q^{(-r)} q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)} \\
= \sum_{r} \operatorname{Tr}_{U}^{\phi} w_{r-1}^{r} q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)}.$$
(3.4)

Thus, (3.4) is independent of z. Moreover, it has shown in [3] that these q^{τ} -traces are absolutely convergent when $0 < |q^{\tau}| < 1$ and can be analytically extended to analytic functions of τ in the upper-half plane.

We next consider q^{τ} -traces of products of two geometrically-modified intertwining operators:

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{U}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_{1}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y}) \mathcal{Y}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes}(w, x - y) \widetilde{w}, q^{y}) q^{\tau(L(0) - c/24)}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{U}^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}_{2}(\mathcal{U}(q^{x}) w, q^{x}) \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W}, U}^{\boxtimes}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y}) \widetilde{w}, q^{y}) q^{\tau(L(0) - c/24)}$$

$$(3.5)$$

for $w \in W$, $\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$, $\mathcal{Y}_1 \in \mathcal{I}^U_{W\boxtimes \widetilde{W},U}$, and $\mathcal{Y}_2 \in \mathcal{I}^U_{W,\widetilde{W}\boxtimes U}$. As we explained, the first function in (3.5) depends on x-y, but not on y. These formal power series (with log-terms) are absolutely convergent in $\Omega_1 = \{(x,y,\tau) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathcal{H} \mid 0 < |q^x-q^u| < |q^y|\}$ and $\Omega_2 = \{(x,y,\tau) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathcal{H} \mid 0 < |q^\tau| < |q^y| < |q^x| < 1\}$, respectively, as shown in [3], where $\mathcal{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im}(\tau) > 0\}$ is the upper half plane. We extend these function analytically to multivalued analytic functions on

$$M_1^2 = \{(x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathcal{H} \mid x \neq y + p\tau + q \text{ for all } p, q \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We can lift them to single valued analytic functions

$$\Psi_{U}^{\phi}(\mathcal{Y}_{1}(\mathcal{Y}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes}): w, \widetilde{w}; x, y, \tau) : = E(\operatorname{Tr}_{U}^{\phi}\mathcal{Y}_{1}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y})\mathcal{Y}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes}(w, x - y)\widetilde{w}, q^{y})q^{\tau(L(0) - c/24)})
\Psi_{U}^{\phi}(\mathcal{Y}_{2} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W}, U}^{\boxtimes}: w, \widetilde{w}; x, y, \tau) : = E(\operatorname{Tr}_{U}^{\phi}\mathcal{Y}_{2}(\mathcal{U}(q^{x})w, q^{x})\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W}, U}^{\boxtimes}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y})\widetilde{w}, q^{y})q^{\tau(L(0) - c/24)})
(3.6)$$

on the universal covering M_1^2 . Although Huang has treat only trace functions in [4], but it is still possible for pseudo-trace functions, (see [5]).

We need to extend one statement in [4] to logarithmic intertwining operators.

Lemma 1 For a (logarithmic) intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{I}_{B,U}^T$, $w \in W$ and $b \in B$, we have

$$\begin{split} e^{\tau L(0)} \mathcal{Y}(b,z) u &= \mathcal{Y}(e^{\tau L(0)}b, e^{\tau}z) e^{\tau L(0)} u \\ q^{\tau L(0)} \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y})b, q^{y}) &= \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y+\tau})b, q^{y+\tau}) q^{\tau L(0)} \quad and \\ \mathcal{Y}^{1} (\mathcal{Y}^{2}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y})b, q^{y} - q^{x}) \mathcal{U}(q^{x})w, q^{x}) &= \mathcal{Y}^{1}(\mathcal{U}(q^{x})\mathcal{Y}^{2}(b, y - x)w, q^{x}) \end{split}$$

[**Proof**] Set $\mathcal{Y}(b,z) = \sum_{h=0}^K \sum_{n\in\mathbb{C}} b_{n,h} z^{-n-1} \log^h z$ and $y = \log z$ to simplify the notation. From $\mathcal{Y}(L(-1)b,z) = \frac{d}{dz}\mathcal{Y}(b,z)$, we have $(L(-1)b)_{n+1,h} = (-n-1)b_{n,h} + (h+1)b_{n-1,h+1}$ and

$$L(0)(b_{n,h}u) - b_{n,h}L(0)u = (L(-1)b)_{n+1,h} + (L(0)b)_{n,h} = (-n-1)b_{n,h} + (h+1)b_{n,h+1} + (L(0)b)_{n,h} + (h+1)b_{n,h+1} + (L(0)b)_{n,h} + (h+1)b_{n,h+1} + (h+1)b_{$$

for $u \in U$. Therefore, we obtain:

$$\begin{split} &e^{\tau L(0)} (\sum_{h=0}^K b_{n,h} u y^h) e^{(-n-1)y} = \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{L(0)\tau}{m!} (\sum_h b_{n,h} u y^h e^{(-n-1)y} \\ &= \sum_{m,h,j} \frac{1}{m!} {m \choose j} \tau^m (L(0)|_b + L(0)|_u - n - 1)^{m-j} (h+1) \cdot \cdot \cdot (h+j) b_{n,h+j} u (2\pi i y)^h e^{(-n-1)y} \\ &= \sum_{m,k=0}^\infty \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{(m-j)!} \frac{1}{j!} (\tau (L(0)|_b + L(0)|_u - n - 1))^{m-j} (k-j+1) \cdot \cdot \cdot (k) \tau^j y^{k-j} b_{n,k} u e^{(-n-1)y} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty e^{\tau (L(0)|_b + L(0)|_u - (n+1))} b_{n,k} u (y+\tau)^k e^{(-n-1)y} \\ &= \sum_k (e^{\tau L(0)} b)_{n,k} (e^{\tau L(0)} u) e^{(-n-1)(y+\tau)} (y+\tau)^k \\ &= \mathcal{Y}(e^{\tau L(0)} b, e^{\tau + y}) e^{\tau L(0)} u = \mathcal{Y}(e^{\tau L(0)} b, e^{\tau} z) e^{\tau L(0)} u, \end{split}$$

where $L(0)|_b$ and $L(0)|_u$ denote the action of L(0) on b and u, respectively. Replacing τ and y by $2\pi i \tau$ and $2\pi i y$, respectively, we have the second equation. The third comes from $\mathcal{U}(1)\mathcal{Y}(b,x) = \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}(q^x)b,q^x-1)\mathcal{U}(1)$ and the second equation.

4 Transformations

For a C_2 -cofinite VOA V satisfying Hypothesis I and II, $V^{\otimes n}$ is also a C_2 -cofinite VOA satisfying Hypothesis I and II. Moreover, for a V-module W, $W^{\otimes n}$ is a semi-rigid $V^{\otimes n}$ -module if and only if W is semi-rigid. We also have that Ψ_V^{tr} appears in $S(\Psi_V^{\text{tr}})$ if and only if $\Psi_{V\otimes n}^{\text{tr}}$ appears in $S(\Psi_{V\otimes n}^{\text{tr}})$. Therefore, by taking a suitable $V^{\otimes n}$ instead of V, we may assume that W and W have integer weights to simplify the arguments.

4.1 Three transformations

A (pseudo-)trace function of $\mathcal{Y}^1(\mathcal{Y}^2) \in \mathcal{I}^U_{E,U}(\mathcal{I}^E_{\widetilde{W},W})$ on U is

$$\Psi_U^{\phi}(\mathcal{Y}^1(\mathcal{Y}^2): \widetilde{w}, w; x, y, \tau) = E(\operatorname{Tr}_U^{\phi} \mathcal{Y}^1(\mathcal{U}(q^y) \mathcal{Y}^2(\widetilde{w}, x - y) w, q^y) q^{\tau(L(0) - c/24)}), \tag{4.1}$$

for $w \in W, \widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$. A modular transformation $S: \tau \to -1/\tau$ on Ψ_U^{ϕ} is defined by

$$S\left(\Psi_{U}^{\phi}\right)\left(\mathcal{Y}^{1}(\mathcal{Y}^{2}):\widetilde{w},w;x,y,\tau\right) = \Psi_{U}^{\phi}\left(\mathcal{Y}^{1}(\mathcal{Y}^{2}):\left(\frac{-1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}\widetilde{w},\left(\frac{-1}{\tau}\right)^{L(0)}w;\frac{-1}{\tau}x,\frac{-1}{\tau}y;\frac{-1}{\tau}\right). \tag{4.2}$$

When $\mathcal{Y}^1(\mathcal{Y}^2) = Y^U(\mathcal{Y})$ for some $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{I}^V_{\widetilde{W},W}$, it has a modular invariance property. In other words, there are $\lambda_{(T,\psi)} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$S\left(\Psi_{U}^{\phi}\right)\left(Y^{U}(\mathcal{Y}):\widetilde{w},w;x,y,\tau\right) = \sum \lambda_{(T,\psi)}\Psi_{T}^{\psi}\left(Y^{T}(\mathcal{Y}):\widetilde{w},w;x,y,\tau\right). \tag{4.3}$$

We note that $\lambda_{(T,\psi)}$ does not depend on $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{I}^{V}_{W\tilde{W}}$, but on V.

We define actions S, α_t , β_t on R_2^1 by

$$(x, y, \tau) \xrightarrow{S} (-x/\tau, -y/\tau, -1/\tau)$$

$$\downarrow \beta_t \qquad \qquad \downarrow \alpha_t$$

$$(x, y + t, \tau) \xrightarrow{S} (-x/\tau, -y/\tau + 1, -1/\tau).$$

$$(4.4)$$

Along a line $\mathcal{L} = \{(x, y + t, \tau) \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$ from (x, y, τ) to $(x, y + 1, \tau)$, we define

$$\alpha_t(\Psi_U^{\phi})(\mathcal{Y}: \widetilde{w}, w; x, y, \tau) := \Psi_U^{\phi}(\mathcal{Y}: \widetilde{w}, w; x, y + t, \tau). \tag{4.5}$$

Since $(x, y, \tau) \to (x, y + t, \tau)$ preserves $\Omega_2 = \{(x, y, \tau) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus H \mid |q^{\tau}| < |q^y| < |q^x| < 1\},$ we have

$$\alpha_{t}(\Psi_{U}^{\phi})(\mathcal{Y}^{1}(\mathcal{Y}^{2}):\widetilde{w},w;x,y,\tau) = \alpha_{t}(\operatorname{Tr}_{U}^{\phi}\mathcal{Y}_{3}(\mathcal{U}(q^{x})\widetilde{w},q^{x})\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},U}^{\boxtimes}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y})w,q^{y})q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)})$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}_{U}^{\phi}\mathcal{Y}^{3}(\mathcal{U}(q^{x})\widetilde{w},q^{x})\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},U}^{\boxtimes}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y+t})w,q^{y+t})q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)}$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}_{U}^{\phi}\mathcal{Y}^{4}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y})\mathcal{Y}^{5}(\widetilde{w},x-y)w,q^{y})q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)}$$

$$= \Psi_{U}^{\phi}(\mathcal{Y}^{4}(\mathcal{Y}^{5}):\widetilde{w},w;x,y,\tau)$$

$$(4.6)$$

for some \mathcal{Y}^3 and $\mathcal{Y}^4(\mathcal{Y}^5)$, because $\mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^{\boxtimes}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y+t})w,q^{y+t})$ is a linear combination of geometrically modified intertwining operators in $\mathcal{I}_{W,U}^{\boxtimes}$.

An important case is where U = V and $\mathcal{Y}^1(\mathcal{Y}^2) = Y(\mathcal{Y})$ with $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{I}^V_{\widetilde{W},W}$. Then since $W \boxtimes V = W$ is irreducible,

$$\alpha_1(\Psi_V^{\mathrm{tr}})(Y(\mathcal{Y})) = e^{2\pi i \mathrm{wt}(W)} \Psi_V^{\mathrm{tr}}(Y(\mathcal{Y})).$$

We set $\kappa = e^{2\pi i \operatorname{wt}(W)}$. We then define β_t according to a line $S^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ by

$$\beta_t(\Psi_U^{\phi})(\mathcal{Y}^1(\mathcal{Y}^2): \widetilde{w}, w; x, y, \tau) = \Psi_U^{\phi}(\mathcal{Y}^1(\mathcal{Y}^2): \widetilde{w}, w; x, y + t\tau, \tau) \text{ for any } \Psi_U^{\phi}. \tag{4.7}$$

Since $\alpha S = S\beta$ on \mathbb{R}^1_2 and

$$S(\Psi_U^{\phi})(\mathcal{Y}^1(\mathcal{Y}^2):\widetilde{w},w) = (-1/\tau)^{(\operatorname{wt}(w) + \operatorname{wt}(\widetilde{w}))} \Psi_U^{\phi}(\mathcal{Y}^1(\mathcal{Y}^2):\widetilde{w},w) S,$$

we have the following relation.

Proposition 2

$$\beta_t(S(\Psi_V))) = S(\alpha_t(\Psi_V)). \tag{4.8}$$

For $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{I}_{W,\tilde{W}}^V$, we have

$$S(\Psi_V^{\mathrm{tr}})(Y(\mathcal{Y})) = \sum_U \lambda_{(U,\mathrm{tr})} \Psi_U^{\mathrm{tr}}(Y(\mathcal{Y}))$$

by Hypothesis II and we will consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Psi_V^{\mathrm{tr}}(Y(\mathcal{Y})) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \kappa \Psi_V^{\mathrm{tr}}(Y(\mathcal{Y})) \\
\downarrow & S & \downarrow & S \\
\sum \lambda_{(U,\mathrm{tr})} \Psi_U^{\mathrm{tr}}(Y^U(\mathcal{Y})) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \sum \lambda_{(U,\mathrm{tr})} \beta(\Psi_U^{\mathrm{tr}}(Y^U(\mathcal{Y})) & = \kappa \sum \lambda_{(U,\mathrm{tr})} \Psi_U^{\mathrm{tr}}(Y^U(\mathcal{Y}))
\end{array}$$

4.2 The image of β

In this section, we will calculate $\beta_1(\Psi_U^{\text{tr}})(Y^U(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^V))$ as a formal power series. In other words, we expand them in the area $0 < |q^y| < |q^x|$ and $0 < |q^\tau| < 1$ as formal (rational) power series of (x-y) and q^τ and τ . We note $|q^{y+t\tau}| \le |q^y| < |q^x|$.

Set
$$A = (W \boxtimes U)$$
 and $\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^V = e_{\widetilde{W}} \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes}$, then we have:

$$\begin{split} &\beta_{1}(\Psi_{U}^{\mathrm{tr}})(Y^{U}(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{V}):\widetilde{w},w;x,y,\tau)\\ &=E(\mathrm{Tr}_{U}Y^{U}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y+\tau})\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{V}(\widetilde{w},x-(y+\tau))w,q^{y+\tau})q^{\tau(L(0)-\frac{c}{24})})\\ &=E(\mathrm{Tr}_{U}Y^{U}(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{V}(\mathcal{U}(q^{x})\widetilde{w},q^{x}-q^{y+\tau})\mathcal{U}(q^{y+\tau})w,q^{y+\tau})q^{\tau(L(0)-\frac{c}{24})}) \quad \text{by Lemma 1}\\ &=E(\mathrm{Tr}_{U}\sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},U'}^{\boxtimes})(\mathcal{U}(q^{x})\widetilde{w},q^{x})\xi_{U}\mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^{\boxtimes}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y+\tau})w,q^{y+\tau})q^{\tau(L(0)-\frac{c}{24})})\\ &=for some \ \xi_{U}\in \mathrm{Hom}_{V}(W\boxtimes U,(\widetilde{W}\boxtimes U')')\\ &=E(\mathrm{Tr}_{U}\sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},U'}^{\boxtimes})(\mathcal{U}(q^{x})\widetilde{w},q^{x})q^{\tau(L(0)-\frac{c}{24})}\xi_{U}\mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^{\boxtimes}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y})w,q^{y})) \qquad \text{by Lemma 1}\\ &=E(\mathrm{Tr}_{U}\sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},U'}^{\boxtimes})(\mathcal{U}(q^{x})\widetilde{w},q^{x})\xi_{U}q^{\tau(L(0)-\frac{c}{24})}\mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^{\boxtimes}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y})w,q^{y}))\\ &=E(\mathrm{Tr}_{A}\mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^{\boxtimes}(\mathcal{U}(q^{y})w,q^{y})\sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},U'}^{\boxtimes})(\mathcal{U}(q^{x})\widetilde{w},q^{x})\xi_{U}q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)})\\ &=\mathrm{because \ the \ trace \ is \ symmetric}\\ &=E(\mathrm{Tr}_{A}\sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}_{A,A'}^{\boxtimes}(\delta_{U}\mathcal{Y}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes})(\mathcal{U}(q^{y})w,q^{y}-q^{x})\mathcal{U}(q^{x})\widetilde{w},q^{x})q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)})\\ &=\mathrm{for \ some \ }\delta_{U}\in \mathrm{Hom}_{V}(W\boxtimes\widetilde{W},(A\boxtimes A')'). \end{split}$$

Set L[-1] = L(-1) + L(0) (see [8]). Then we get $U(1)e^{L(-1)z} = e^{(2\pi i)L[-1]z}U(1)$ from (3.1) and so the above equals to the following:

$$\begin{split} &E(\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}_{A,A'}^{\boxtimes})(\delta_{U}\mathcal{U}(q^{x})\mathcal{Y}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes}(w,y-x)\widetilde{w},q^{x})q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)}) \quad \text{by Lemma 1} \\ &= E(\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}_{A,A'}^{\boxtimes})(\delta_{U}q^{L(0)x}\mathcal{U}(1)e^{L(-1)(y-x)}\sigma_{12}(\mathcal{Y}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes})(\widetilde{w},x-y)w,q^{x})q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)}) \\ &\quad \text{by skew symmetry intertwining operator, see } (2.4) \\ &= E(\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}_{A,A'}^{\boxtimes})(\delta_{U}q^{L(0)x}e^{(2\pi i)L[-1](y-x)}\mathcal{U}(1)\sigma_{12}(\mathcal{Y}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes})(\widetilde{w},x-y)w,q^{x})q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)}). \end{split}$$

As we explained, the pair of terms $q^{L(0)x}$ and q^x in the above expression is just formal and has no influence. The next term is $e^{(2\pi i)L[-1](y-x)}$. However, since the grade preserving operators of L[-1]u are zero for any $u \in \widetilde{W} \boxtimes W$, we finally have

$$\beta_{1}(\Psi_{U}^{\operatorname{tr}})(Y^{U}(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{V}):\widetilde{w},w;x,y,\tau) = E(\operatorname{Tr}_{A}\sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}_{A,A'}^{\boxtimes})\mathcal{U}(q^{x})\delta_{U}\sigma_{12}(\mathcal{Y}_{W\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes}))(\widetilde{w},x-y)w,q^{x})q^{\tau(L(0)-c/24)}).$$

$$(4.9)$$

In particular, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3 $\beta_1(\Psi_U^{\mathrm{tr}})(Y^U(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^V))$ is again an ordinary trace function.

We express the definitions of ξ_U and δ_U in a short way

$$Y^{U}(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{V}) = \sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},U'}^{\boxtimes})\xi\mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^{\boxtimes} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^{\boxtimes}\sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},U'}^{\boxtimes})\xi_{U} = \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}_{A,A'}^{\boxtimes})(\delta_{U}\mathcal{Y}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes}).$$

$$(4.10)$$

For $a' \in A'$, $\widetilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$, $w, w^1 \in W$ and $u \in U$, let us consider

$$\langle a', \mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^{\boxtimes}(w^1, x) Y^U(e_{\widetilde{W}} \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes}(\widetilde{w}, y - z) w, z) u \rangle$$

$$\tag{4.11}$$

into two ways. Set $B = \text{Image}(\delta_U)$, then there is $\mathcal{Y}_{B.W}^{(U\boxtimes A')'}$ such that

$$(4.11) = \langle a', \mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^{\boxtimes}(w^{1}, x)\sigma_{23}(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},U'}^{\boxtimes})(\widetilde{w}, y)\xi\mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^{\boxtimes}(w, z)u\rangle$$

$$= \langle a', \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}_{A,A'}^{\boxtimes})(\delta_{U}\mathcal{Y}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes}(w^{1}, x - y)\widetilde{w}, y)\mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^{\boxtimes}(w, z)u\rangle$$

$$= \langle a', \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}_{U,A'}^{\boxtimes})\mathcal{Y}_{B,W}^{(U\boxtimes A')'}(\delta_{U}\mathcal{Y}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes}(w^{1}, x - y)\widetilde{w}, y - z)w, z)u\rangle.$$

On the other hand, there is $\mathcal{Y}_{W,V}^W \in \mathcal{I}_{W,V}^W$ and $\epsilon \in \text{Hom}_V(W,(U \boxtimes A')')$ such that

$$(4.11) = \langle a', \mathcal{Y}_{W,U}^{\boxtimes}(Y_{W,V}^{W}(w^{1}, x - z)e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes}(\widetilde{w}, y - z)w, z)u\rangle$$

= $\langle a', \sigma_{123}(\mathcal{Y}_{U,A'}^{\boxtimes})(\epsilon Y_{W,V}^{W}(w^{1}, x - z)e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes}(\widetilde{w}, y - z)w, z)u\rangle$

for any $a' \in A'$ and $u \in U$. We note $\mathcal{Y}_{W,V}^W \in \mathbb{C}\sigma_{12}(Y^W)$. Therefore, we have

$$\epsilon Y_{W,V}^W(w^1,x-z)e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes}(\widetilde{w},y-z)w=\mathcal{Y}_{B,W}^{(U\boxtimes A')'}(\delta_U\mathcal{Y}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes}(w^1,x-z)\widetilde{w},y-z)w.$$

Since the image of ϵ is W, we obtain

$$\epsilon Y_{W,V}^W(w^1, x-z) \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes}(\widetilde{w}, y-z) w = \mathcal{Y}_{B,W}^W(\delta_U \mathcal{Y}_{W\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes}(w^1, x-z)\widetilde{w}, y-z) w$$

for some $\mathcal{Y}_{B,W}^W$. Thus, δ_U in (4.8) essentially coincides with δ in (2.6), which does not depend on the choice of U.

5 Proof of the Main Theorem

We now start the proof of the Main Theorem. Let W be an irreducible module. As we showed in the previous section,

$$\beta_{1}(\sum \lambda_{(U,\operatorname{tr})} \Psi_{U}^{\operatorname{tr}})(Y(e_{\widetilde{W}} \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes})) = \sum \lambda_{(U,\operatorname{tr})} \beta_{1}(\Psi_{U}^{\operatorname{tr}})(Y(e_{\widetilde{W}} \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes}))$$

$$= \sum \lambda_{(U,\operatorname{tr})} \Psi_{W\boxtimes U}(\mathcal{Y}_{B,U}^{U}(\delta \mathcal{Y}_{W\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes})).$$

On the other hand, since $\beta_1(S(\Psi_V)) = S(\alpha_1(\Psi_V))$, we obtain

$$\beta_1(\sum \lambda_{(U,\operatorname{tr})} \Psi_U^{\operatorname{tr}}(Y(e_{\widetilde{W}} \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes})) = \kappa(\sum \lambda_{(U,\operatorname{tr})} \Psi_U^{\operatorname{tr}}(Y(e_{\widetilde{W}} \mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes})).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum \lambda_{(U,\operatorname{tr})} \Psi_{W\boxtimes U}(\mathcal{Y}^U_{B,U}(\delta\mathcal{Y}^\boxtimes_{W,\widetilde{W}})) = \kappa(\sum \lambda_{(U,\operatorname{tr})} \Psi^{\operatorname{tr}}_U(Y(e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}^\boxtimes_{\widetilde{W},W})).$$

Suppose that W is not semi-rigid. As we mentioned, we may assume that a conformal weight $\operatorname{wt}(W)$ of W is an integer. Then $\operatorname{Ker}(\delta) + \operatorname{Ker}(e_{\widetilde{W}}) = \widetilde{W} \boxtimes W$. Set $Q = \operatorname{Ker}(\delta) \cap \operatorname{Ker}(e_{\widetilde{W}})$ and $W \boxtimes \widetilde{W}/Q = Q^1 \oplus Q^2$ with $Q^1 = \operatorname{Ker}(e_{\widetilde{W}})/Q$ and $Q^2 = \operatorname{Ker}(\delta)/Q \cong V$. Then $\Psi_{W\boxtimes U}(\mathcal{Y}_{B,U}^U(\delta\mathcal{Y}_{W,\widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes}))$ are all given by traces on Q^1 and $\Psi_U^{\operatorname{tr}}(Y(e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{W,W}^{\boxtimes}))$ are all given by traces on Q^2 . We hence have

$$\sum \lambda_{(U, \operatorname{tr})} \Psi_{W \boxtimes U} (\mathcal{Y}_{B, U}^{U} (\delta \mathcal{Y}_{W, \widetilde{W}}^{\boxtimes})) = 0,$$

which contradicts to $\sum \lambda_{(U,\text{tr})} \Psi_U^{\text{tr}}(Y(e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes}) \neq 0$. Therefore, W is semi-rigid. Since W is arbitrary, V is semi-rigid.

We next show $\lambda_{(V',\text{tr})} \neq 0$. Choose a simple module U so that $\lambda_{(U,\text{tr})} \neq 0$. Set W = U' and consider the trace function of the $e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes}$ in $\beta_1(\Psi_U^{\text{tr}})(e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes})$. It has a nonzero scalar multiple of

$$\Psi_{W\boxtimes U}^{\mathrm{tr}}(e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W}|W}^{\boxtimes})$$

and so it has a term $\Psi_{V'}^{\text{tr}}(e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes})$ with a nonzero coefficient. On the other hand, for any V-modules $T \neq U$, $\beta_1(\Psi_T^{\text{tr}}(e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes}))$ has no entries of $\Psi_{V'}^{\text{tr}}(e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes})$. Therefore, $\Psi_{V'}^{\text{tr}}(e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes})$ has nonzero coefficient in $\beta_1(\sum \lambda_{(U,\text{tr})}\Psi_U^{\text{tr}}(Y(e_{\widetilde{W}}\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^{\boxtimes}))$.

The remaining thing is to prove $\lambda_{(U,\text{tr})} \neq 0$ for every simple module U. Set W = U'. As we showed, $\lambda_{(V',\text{Tr})} \neq 0$ and so there is a simple V-module T with $\lambda_{(T,\text{tr})} \neq 0$ such that $\beta_1(\Psi_T^\phi)(Y^T(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^V))$ to have nonzero coefficient at $\Psi_{V'}^{\text{Tr}}(Y^U(\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^V))$. Then since $\operatorname{Hom}_V(T \boxtimes W, V') \neq 0$, T = (W)' = U and so $\lambda_{(U,\text{tr})} \neq 0$ as we desired. This completes the proof of the Main theorem.

6 Orbifold model

At last, we will show an example satisfying Hypothesis II. Let T be a rational vertex operator algebra of CFT type and $\tau \in \operatorname{Aut}(T)$ of order p. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ be a primitive p-th

root of unity and decompose T into $T = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} T^{(i)}$ with $T^{(i)} = \{v \in T \mid \sigma(v) = \xi^i v\}$. We assume that the fixed point subVOA $V := T^{\tau}$ is C_2 -cofinite and satisfies Hypothesis I.

Theorem 4 Let T be a rational vertex operator algebra of CFT type and τ is a finite automorphism of T. We assume that the fixed point sub VOA T^{τ} is C_2 -cofinite and satisfies Hypothesis I. Then $S(\Psi_{T\tau}^{tr})$ is a linear combination of trace functions.

Before we start the proof of Theorem 4, we first show the following:

Proposition 5 Under the assumption in Theorem 4, T^{τ} is projective as a T^{τ} -module.

[**Proof**] Suppose false and let $0 \to B \xrightarrow{\epsilon} P \xrightarrow{\phi} T^{\tau} \to 0$ be a non-split extension of T^{τ} . Set $V = T^{\tau}$. Viewing T as a V-module, we define a fusion product $W = T \boxtimes_{V} P$ and set $W^{(i)} = T^{(i)} \boxtimes_{V} P$. We note $W = W^{(0)} \oplus \cdots \oplus W^{(n-1)}$ and $W^{(0)} = P$. Similarly, we set $R = (\operatorname{id}_{T} \boxtimes \epsilon)(T \boxtimes_{V} B) \subseteq T \boxtimes_{V} P$ and $R^{(i)} = (\operatorname{id}_{T^{(i)}} \boxtimes \epsilon)(T^{(i)} \boxtimes_{V} B) \subseteq T^{(i)} \boxtimes_{V} P$. We note that $(\operatorname{id}_{T^{(i)}} \boxtimes \epsilon \text{ may not be injective, but } R^{i} \text{ is not zero since there is a canonical epimorphism } T^{(i)} \boxtimes (T^{(i)} \boxtimes P) \cong (T^{(i)} \boxtimes T^{(i)}) \boxtimes P \to V \boxtimes P \cong P$.

As we explained, there is $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{I}_{TW}^W$ such that

$$E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(t, z_1) \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(t^1, z_2) p \rangle) = E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(Y(t, z_1 - z_2) t^1, z_2) p \rangle)$$

for $t, t^1 \in T$, $w' \in W'$ and $p \in P$. From the definition of \mathcal{Y} and the Commutativity of vertex operators of T, we have

$$\begin{split} E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(t^1, z_1) \mathcal{Y}(t^2, z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(t^1, z) p \rangle) &= E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(t^1, z_1) \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(Y(t^2, z_2 - z) t^3, z) p \rangle) \\ &= E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(Y(t^1, z_1 - z) Y(t^2, z_2 - z) t^3, z) p \rangle) \\ &= E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(Y(t^2, z_2 - z) Y(t^1, z_1 - z) t^3, z) p \rangle) \\ &= E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(v^2, z_2) \mathcal{Y}(t^1, z_1) \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(t^3, z) p \rangle) \end{split}$$

for $t^1, t^2, t^3 \in T$, which implies the Commutativity of $\{\mathcal{Y}(t,z) \mid t \in T\}$. We also have

$$E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(t^{1}, z_{1})\mathcal{Y}(t^{2}, z_{2})\mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(t^{3}, z)p\rangle) = E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(Y(t^{1}, z_{1} - z)Y(t^{2}, z_{2} - z)t^{3}, z)p\rangle)$$

$$= E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(Y(Y(t^{1}, z_{1} - z_{2})t^{2}, z_{2} - z)t^{3}, z)p\rangle)$$

$$= E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(Y(t^{1}, z_{1} - z_{2})t^{2}, z_{2})\mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(t^{3}, z)p\rangle).$$

Furthermore, taking $t^1 = \mathbf{1}$, we obtain $\mathcal{Y}(t,z)p = \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(t,z)p$ for $t \in V, p \in P$ since

$$E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(t, z_1)p \rangle) = E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}(t, z_1)\mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(\mathbf{1}, z_2)p \rangle) = E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(Y(t, z_1 - z_2)\mathbf{1}, z_2)p \rangle)$$

$$= E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(e^{(z_1 - z_2)L(-1)}t, z_2)p \rangle) = E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(t, z_2 + z_1 - z_2)p \rangle)$$

$$= E(\langle w', \mathcal{Y}_{T,P}^{\boxtimes}(t, z_1)p \rangle).$$

Therefore, $T \boxtimes_V P$ is a T-module and $(\mathrm{id}_V \boxtimes \epsilon)(T \boxtimes_V B)$ is a direct summand of $T \boxtimes_V P$ since T is rational. Then $B = (\mathrm{id}_V \boxtimes \epsilon)(T \boxtimes_V B) \cap T_V^{(0)}P$ is also a direct summand of P as a V-module, which contradicts the choice of P.

We will assert one more general result.

Proposition 6 $T^{(i)}$ is a simple current as a V-module, that is, $T^{(i)} \boxtimes_V D$ is simple for any simple V-module D.

[**Proof**] Set $Q = T \boxtimes_V D$ and $Q^{(i)} = T^{(i)} \boxtimes_V D$. For Q, we will use the same arguments as above. Suppose that $Q^{(i)}$ contains a proper submodule S. Then $S^{\perp} \cap (Q^{(i)})' \neq 0$ and so we have

$$E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}(t^{(i)}, z_1) \mathcal{Y}(t^{(n-i)}, z) s \rangle) = E(\langle d', \mathcal{Y}(Y(t^{(i)}, z_1 - z) t^{(n-i)}, z) s \rangle)$$

$$= E(\langle d', Y(Y(t^{(i)}, z_1 - z) t^{(n-i)}, z) s \rangle) = 0$$

for $t^{(i)} \in T^{(i)}$, $d' \in S^{\perp}$ and $s \in S$ since $Y(t^{(i)}, z)t^{(n-i)} \in V\{z\}[\log z]$. On the other hand, since $E(\langle q', \mathcal{Y}(t^{(i)}, z_1)\mathcal{Y}(t^{(n-i)}, z)s\rangle) = E(\langle q', \mathcal{Y}(Y(t^{(i)}, z_1 - z)t^{(n-i)}, z)s\rangle) \neq 0$ for some $q' \in (Q^{(i)})', t^{(i)} \in T^{(i)}$ and $t^{(n-i)} \in T^{(n-i)}$, the coefficients in $\{\mathcal{Y}(t^{(n-i)}, z)s \mid s \in S, t^{(n-i)} \in T^{(n-i)}\}$ spans D and so those in $\{\mathcal{Y}(t^{(i)}, z_1)\mathcal{Y}(t^{(n-i)}, z)s \mid t^{(i)} \in T^{(i)}, t^{(n-i)} \in T^{(n-i)}\}$ spans $Q^{(i)}$. Therefore, we have a contradiction.

We now start the proof of Theorem 4. We pick up one twisted simple T-module $M=\oplus_{n=0}^{\infty}M_{\lambda+n/p}$. Then for each $i,\,W^{(i)}=\oplus_{n=0}^{\infty}M_{\lambda+n+i/p}$ is a simple V-module and we may assume that $T^{(j)}\boxtimes W^{(i)}=W^{(i+j)}$ since $T^{(j)}$ is simple current. Using $W=W^{(0)}$ and \widetilde{W} , we will consider geometrically modified trace functions. Set $\mathcal{Y}=\mathcal{Y}_{\widetilde{W},W}^V$.

Let us consider the images of $\Psi_T(Y(\mathcal{Y}))$ by α_1 and S. Since $W \boxtimes T^{(i)} = W^{(i)}$, $\alpha_1(\Psi^{\mathrm{tr}}_{T^{(i)}})(Y(\mathcal{Y})) = e^{2\pi i \mathrm{wt}(W^{(i)})} \Psi^{\mathrm{tr}}_{T^{(i)}}(Y(\mathcal{Y}))$ by (4.6). Therefore, we have:

$$\alpha_1(\Psi_T^{\mathrm{tr}}(Y(\mathcal{Y}))) = \alpha_1(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \Psi_{T^{(i)}}^{\mathrm{tr}}(Y(\mathcal{Y}))) = e^{2\pi i \mathrm{wt}(W^{(0)})}(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \xi^i \Psi_{T^{(i)}}^{\mathrm{tr}}(Y(\mathcal{Y}))),$$

which coincides with a scalar multiple of a τ -twisted trace function

$$\Psi^{\mathrm{tr}}_T(\tau \cdot Y(\mathcal{Y}) : w, \widetilde{w}; x, y, \tau) := E(\mathrm{Tr}_T \tau Y^T(\mathcal{U}(q^y) \mathcal{Y}^V_{W\widetilde{W}}(w, x - y) \widetilde{w}, q^y) q^{\tau(L(0) - c/24)})$$

on T with an action of τ . On the other hand, since T is rational and C_2 -cofinite, $S(\Psi_T^{\rm tr})$ is a linear combination of trace functions $\Psi_U^{\rm tr}$ on T-modules U which is also a V-module. Therefore, $\beta_1(S(\Psi_T^{\rm tr}(Y(\mathcal{Y})))) = S(\alpha_1(\Psi_T^{\rm tr}(Y(\mathcal{Y}))))) = e^{2\pi i \text{wt}(W)} S(\Psi_T^{\rm tr}(\tau \cdot Y(\mathcal{Y})))$ is also a linear combination of trace functions. Since $\Psi_V^{\rm tr} = \frac{1}{p}(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \Psi_T^{\rm tr}(\tau^i Y(\mathcal{Y})))$, we have the desired conclusion.

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

Let us go back to the assumptions in Theorem 4. Since $S(\Psi_V^{\text{tr}})$ is a linear combination of trace functions, V satisfies the conditions of the main theorem and so V is semi-rigid. We have also proved that V is projective as a V-module. Therefore, we have the following by Corollary 15 in [5].

Corollary 7 Under the assumptions in Theorem 4, T^{τ} is rational.

References

- [1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Modular-invariance of trace functions in orbifold theory and generalized Moonshine, Comm. Math. Phys. 214 (2000), no.1. 1-56.
- [2] I. B. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky, On Axiomatic Approaches to Vertex Operator Algebras and Modules, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 104, (1993).
- [3] Y.-Z. Huang, Differential equations, duality and modular invariance, Commun. Contemp. Math. 7 (2005), no. 5, 649-706.
- [4] Y.-Z. Huang, Vertex operator algebras and the Verlinde conjecture, Commun. Contemp. Math. 10 (2008), no. 1, 103-154.
- [5] M. Miyamoto, Modular invariance of vertex operator algebra satisfying C_2 cofiniteness, Duke Math. J. 122 (2004), no. 1, 51-91.
- [6] M. Miyamoto, Flatness of Tensor Products and Semi-Rigidity for C₂-cofinite Vertex Operator Algebras I, arxiv0906.1407, preprint.
- [7] G. Moore and N.Seiberg, Classical and quantum conformal field theory, Comm. Math. Phys. 123 (1989), 177-254.
- [8] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237-302.